

Date: Thu, 12 May 94 16:00:40 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #516
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Thu, 12 May 94 Volume 94 : Issue 516

Today's Topics:

 Acceptable use of HAM
 A new type of ham radio club / station
 Best AA NiCads?
 destinate. (2 msgs)
 Ham jargon
 Help:Bootleggers in area
 Licencing cost (was: Canadian Reciprocity)
 license turn-around time???
 Luck Hurder ... gone:(Why? (2 msgs)
 sacred frequencies
 subscribe
 Want C408A Info
 Wanted: Manual for C458a
 WANTED: YEASU HT SUBTNER BOARD

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Wed, 11 May 1994 16:06:51 CDT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!s1.gov!fastrac.llnl.gov!usenet.ee.pdx.edu!
cs.uoregon.edu!reuter.cse.ogi.edu!psgrain!charnel.ecst.csuchico.edu!nic-
nac.CSU.net!usc!math.@ihnp4.ucsd.edu
Subject: Acceptable use of HAM
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Jeeze, Louise, why not let the hams order their pizza? Individual
repeater Gods can set their own Gestapo policy and say "NO, NO!!!

NO PIZZA!! NO DOCTORS APPOINTMENTS!!!!" as they please, and others can say, go ahead, order your pepperoni and get those fillings... So take a chill pill! I was a member of a very nice 2-meter autopatch only repeater for a while (until I moved) and it worked out very nicely. It even had a shortcut for dialing 800-numbers (something like *8-xxx-xxxx). I hope more of you old farts lighten up about what HAMS do with HAM radio autopatch for their own convenience and clamp down on NON HAMS using our frequencies and stealing bandwidth. So if you want to get tough on rules, go T-hunting for jammers and leave us alone.

Date: Thu, 12 May 1994 15:56:57 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!spool.mu.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!news.dtc.hp.com!hplextra!hplb!hpwin055.uksr!hpqmoea!dstock@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: A new type of ham radio club / station
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Darrell Shandrow (nu7i@nowhere) wrote:

: Sounds like a bunch of elitists to me. This is not within the spirit of
: amateur radio at all.

There's no real reason for this..... if the folk involved lack the skill to use all that wonderful radio equipment and test equipment, they can be as elitist or rich as they want, they just won't be very successful :-). The budget doesn't seem to include funds for buying skills, ability and experience.

AND, If they are all red-hot keen operators, I don't want to be anywhere in range when the fighting breaks out over who gets to operate it in the favourite contests

: I'd say that such a club should be frowned upon by the amateur community
: and not given any undeserved respect. 73

: Darrell Shandrow at Arizona State University

This is the sad part, I can see how such a club could cause jealousy and resentment. If it wants respect, it will have to earn it just like everyone else.

There will be folk who feel no resentment, those who built stations capable of giving it a very hard race, on a modest budget by effort and careful trawling for useful junk and components.

David GM4ZNX

Date: 10 May 1994 09:17:28 -0400
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!galaxy.ucr.edu!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!nic-nac.CSU.net!
channel.ecst.csuchico.edu!yeshua.marcam.com!zip.eecs.umich.edu!panix!not-for-
mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Best AA NiCads?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <linleyCpKosM.6qE@netcom.com>,
Bruce James Robert Linley <linley@netcom.com> wrote:
>Which brand of AA NiCads has the highest Ampere-hour rating? The highest I've
>been able to find so far is Radio Shack's 0.85 AH cells. Are there 1 AH cells
>out there or is 0.85 as good as it gets? Oh, and of course, cost is a factor
>too. Many thanks in advance. 73.

The Varta nicads that Radio Shack sells are the highest right now, but
I've heard that Panasonic is about to ship 900 mAh Nicad (=not= NiMH)
AA cells.

--
Mike Schuster | schuster@panix.com | 70346.1745@CompuServe.COM
----- | schuster@shell.portal.com | GEnie: MSCHUSTER

Date: 11 May 1994 18:20:34 -0700
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.cerf.net!bengal.oxy.edu!mcws!
FUsenetToss@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: destinate.
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Destinate is an attempt at being cute. It is cute all right, but after
all these years has become a pain. It's in the same category as saying
73s or 'numbers' or 3s. It's wrong, and while there is nothing wrong
with the basic idea, people should be aware of the correct way.

Our society encourages illiteracy, short cuts, and general decadence.
But changing human nature is impossible, so I guess I will just keep
trying to do it the best way and hope others will take care of
themselves.

73 DE K6DDX

Date: 10 May 1994 16:17:33 -0700
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!galaxy.ucr.edu!library.ucla.edu!psgrain!news.tek.com!
cascade.ens.tek.com!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: destinate.
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Slang words aren't found in a dictionary unless they have been around for many, many years. As far as 'destinated' goes, I have heard that used by hams since the mid 70's. Not just the new hams neither.

Terry, KI7M

Date: 11 May 1994 19:01:34 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.acns.nwu.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
noc.near.net!info-server.bbn.com!news!levin@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Ham jargon
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <CpMM6w.Ark@freenet.carleton.ca> as041@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Robin Ludlow) writes:

Another of my favourites (not), is: <I am just about at touchdown.>
C-mon...you are driving a car, not flying an aircraft.
I think a lot of the jargon and lingo has to do with attempting needlessly to add mystique to the hobby.

Actually, thought of as metaphor rather than jargon, this tends to add some color to standard English (unlike "destinated" which just isn't English). At least until it becomes overused, it can be a rather pleasing addition.

/JBL

=

Nets: levin@bbn.com | "Earn more sessions by sleeving."
pots: (617)873-3463 |
ARS: KD10N | -- Roxanne Kowalski

Date: 12 May 94 10:06:07 -0500
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!news.tufts.edu!
news.hnrc.tufts.edu!jerry@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Help:Bootleggers in area
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <gregg.274.2DCF889C@plains.nodak.edu>, gregg@plains.nodak.edu (Joe Gregg) writes:

>
> The local hams have contacted the FCC field office for the area many many
> times. At first the engineer in charge said he couldn't do anything about it
> at all, he was too busy and understaffed and underbudgeted. After many more
> calls and complaints, he actually drove out to the community and listened in.
> Yep, they were there, doing just what the locals said, alright. Too bad.
>
> Now, what should they/we do about this situation. Does anyone have any kind
> of connection with the FCC? Is there anyone out there who gives a damn?
>
> Help!

I am not a lawyer and the suggestion I would offer is Draconian and will not win you any friends, but it is possible to sue federal officials to demand they perform their official duties. (remember Marberry v Madison?) Some situations require Draconian measures that don't win you any friends. I hope never to be there, myself.

Date: 12 May 1994 14:01:47 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!math.ohio-state.edu!magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu!falcon.bgsu.edu!fyfe@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Licencing cost (was: Canadian Reciprocity)
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <CpDsKL.90F@freenet.carleton.ca>
ae517@FreeNet.Carleton.CA (Russ Renaud) writes:

> Why is it that we have to pay so much for our station licences every year.
> Last year it was \$23.00, this year it was \$24.00. Where will it end?
...
stuff deleted
...
> de va3rr (YES! a vanity call)
> also aa8lu (ya takes what ya gets)
> --

So Russ, after reading your post and a couple of follow-ups, I didn't get a since whether \$24.00 was the annual cost of a license or the annual cost of a vanity license.

Is that the base cost of a license?

bobb

*Bob Fyfe, KG8FU, NREMT-I "A King's Kid" John 1.12 *

*Phone: (419) 372-2103 *
*Bitnet: BFYFE@TRAPPER -or- FYFE@BGSUOPIE *
*Internet: fyfe@andy.bgsu.edu -or- bfyfe@trapper.bgsu.edu *
US Post: c/o Comp.Servs.,Rm.123 Hayes, BGSU, Bowling Green,OH 43403

Date: Thu, 12 May 94 10:53:51 -0500
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!
noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!usenet@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: license turn-around time???
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I was wondering what the current TA for ham licenses is lately.
My dad took his tech a while back and was interested in recent
license processing times.

Any feed back is appreciated ;-^)

n1qdq

Date: Thu, 12 May 1994 13:22:09 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!
greg@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Luck Hurder ... gone:(Why?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <NL06Lc3w165w@voxbox.norden1.com> jgrubs@voxbox.norden1.com (Jim Grubs,
W8GRT) writes:

>
>Well, I have no beef with the professional staff enjoying
>professional rewards. What are they supposed to be, monks who've
>taken vows of poverty?

It's not the vow of poverty so much as the tonsure in the form of a
Yagi for those who have hair, and the tatoo in the same form for
those who don't...

Greg

Date: Thu, 12 May 1994 13:19:11 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!
netcom.com!greg@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Luck Hurder ... gone:(Why?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2qr774\$221@jericho.mc.com> levine@mc.com writes:
>

>Stop whining and if you don't want any of the league's
>benefits than don't join, don't participate in DXCC or WAS,
>don't QSL by the bureau, don't ask for legal assistance,
>don't ask for local technical assistance, don't read QST,
>don't attend an ARRL VE Session, don't ask for international
>licensing information.

A very cynical attitude indeed, and one which undermines the
whole structure and purpose of the ARRL and reduces it to a
set of goods and services.

Since when is commenting on the policies and practices of a
democratically-controlled organization of which one is a member
subject to being called 'whining?'

To me, the 'whiners' are those who don't step up to issues and
face them squarely, but instead choose to attack and denigrate
those who do.

Greg

Date: 12 May 1994 15:16:33 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!darkstar.UCSC.EDU!
news.hal.COM!olivea!charnel.ecst.csuchico.edu!nic-nac.CSU.net!usc!sdd.hp.com!
col.hp.com!gregt@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: sacred frequencies
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Roger Buffington (rogjd@netcom.com) wrote:
: Derek Wills (oo7@astro.as.utexas.edu) wrote:

: : ... There are standard DX frequencies
: : in the phone bands that are used by DXpeditions and individual
: : DX operators, such as 3795, 14195, 21295, 28495. These are
: : recognized worldwide as DX gathering places. If you know this,
: : and insist on starting a ragchew on 14195, I think it would be

: : unnecessarily provocative.

The fact is that hardly anyone knows about this "standard"! This is especially true for the newer amateurs, and is also true for old-timers as well.

To claim an unpublished "standard" for DXers to the rest of the world only serves to create hard feelings between everyone trying to share the frequencies and discredits the serious DXers.

: Firstly, I've been an amateur since 1966, and I've never
: heard of the frequencies you reference in the context you mention.

I've been licensed since 1960 and have never heard of a "standard DX frequency", either. I subscribed to the NJDXA newsletter for a year and never saw any mention of a standard DX frequency or frequencies. Even if there were a list of standard frequencies published in these newsletters, how would the majority of the amateur population know about them? The number of hams who read these publications is relatively small.

Let me suggest that if there is to be a set of standard DX frequencies, that some concerned DXer take on the the responsibility of getting the word out to a "reasonable" percentage (let's arbitrarily say 30% or more) of the ham population by writing articles for the major amateur publications (QST, CQ, 73, WorldRadio and maybe a few others) with the information.

I am willing to abide by any reasonable "gentleman's" agreement on DX frequencies that can be generated (by reasonable, I mean one frequency per band +/- 2.5 KHz, since that is basically what is now on the table).

Note: Whoever takes on the responsibility of proposing or publishing the standard may be known as "the keeper of the standard" and be subject to the fame and flame associated with the title! Any takers?

Greg "just a casual DXer" Tarcza

=====
Greg Tarcza WA200D
Hewlett-Packard Company
P.O. Box 2197
Colorado Springs, CO 80901
719-590-2471

Pikes
Peak
Soaring
Society

/

/

/-, /-,

/ / / /

/ / --/

/

/

gregt@col.hp.com
=====

Date: 12 May 94 19:43:51 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: subscribe
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Subscribe

Date: 11 May 94 09:42:45 GMT
From: news.iij.ad.jp!sranha.sra.co.jp!sramhb!sramha!funa@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Want C408A Info
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hello All.
I want C408A spec. How much ?/RX width ?/TX width ?/How to TX mod/
How to RX mod/. If you know that post or mail.
Thank you.

--
Software Research Associates,Inc. +81-3-3234-2655 funa@sra.co.jp
Man fall in love with her existence. Lady fall in love with his parameter.

Date: 12 May 94 22:06:34 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: Wanted: Manual for C458a
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

OK Gang,
Let's try this again. Last time I forgot to include my e-mail address
(let's see ... red face, going senile, etc etc).

I am looking for a copy of the manual for the Standard C458a 70cm HT.

Copying and postage costs provided. Can anyone help?

73 de KB0LRB
geitgey@ukanvm.cc.ukans.edu
geitgey@ukanvm.bitnet

Date: 12 May 1994 14:33:50 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!gatech!concert!
bigblue.oit.unc.edu!samba.oit.unc.edu!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: WANTED: YEASU HT SUBTNER BOARD

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

if you have for sale a subtone board for an old yaesu 144mhz HT,
(i think model is ft-204?or like that, please leave me personal email...

thanks,

jim

--

 \ The above does not represent OIT, UNC-CH, laUNCHpad, or its other users. /

Date: 10 May 94 08:55:14 -0500

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!galaxy.ucr.edu!library.ucla.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!
noc.near.net!news.tufts.edu!news.hnrc.tufts.edu!jerry@network.ucsd.edu

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <YEE.94May4123149@mipgsun.mipg.upenn.edu>,
<1994May4.192129.9784@lgc.com>, <gradyCpJnwy.Buu@netcom.com>.

Subject : Re: Amateur Radio and Civil Rights

In article <gradyCpJnwy.Buu@netcom.com>, grady@netcom.com (Grady Ward) writes:

> I already own my assault radios: Icom-735 with 100khz-30Mhz xmit mod
> and several Icom-W2A's with extended xmit mods for VHF and UHF.

>

> And if that weren't enough, I own a Pro2006 with a clipped diode...

>

> Too bad these are now illegal radios as of April 26th -- the date
> of the assault radio ban.

These are *NOT* illegal radios. The only thing that's illegal is tuning in to
some of the frequencies these radios are capable of receiving.

Date: Wed, 11 May 1994 14:40:51 GMT

From: brunix!pstc3!md@uunet.uu.net

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <Bm4ulsN.yves1@delphi.com>, <1994May11.131758.9021@cs.brown.edu>,
<2qqofc\$iio@vixen.cso.uiuc.edu>ex

Subject : Re: ARRL (Was: Luck Hurder ... gone:(Why?)

In article <2qqofc\$iio@vixen.cso.uiuc.edu>,
ignacy@misz.animal.uiuc.edu (Ignacy Misztal) writes:

|> Almost all non-profit organization have extra sources of income to
|> reduce dues and subsequently increase membership.

Most non-profit organizations have fund raisers. Very few actually make it a point of actively selling material at market prices - in fact, alot of places, such as PBS, simply offer you a bribe of some trinket when you donate money.

The recent flack about the Repeater Directory project and the League taking a hard-nose stance that they would go to court to protect their copyright shows pretty clearly where their interests are.

|> Would you be willing to pay \$60 rather than \$30 a year?

I would be willing to pay for what I use.

|> Someone wrote that salaries at
|> ARRL are rather low and it could be difficult to attract best people.

I have no comment on this, I haven't seen the salaries.

|> If you want ARRL to be better, will you be willing to pay higher
|> dues?

If I received something for my dues, rather than an increasingly large number of ads at the front of each QST every month. And, perhaps a section manager who actually filed the section news and did something. Perhaps a working O.O. system. The list is endless.

But that's okay. The League can continue to take the stance of "quantity before quality" and do whatever they can to reduce the entrance requirements to ham radio (and thus sell more study guides.)

MD

--

-- Michael P. Deignan
-- RI Center For Political Incorrectness & Environment Ignorance
-- 'Have you hugged your chainsaw today?'

Date: Thu, 12 May 1994 13:12:34 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!
netcom.com!greg@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1994May9.174007.28632@rsg1.er.usgs.gov>, <znr768510744k@indirect>,
<2qr4qf\$hn0@hplvec.lvld.hp.com>om
Subject : Re: A new type of ham radio club / station

In article <2qr4qf\$hn0@hplvec.lvld.hp.com> scott@lvld.hp.com (Scott Turner)
writes:

>Darrell Shandrow (nu7i@nowhere) wrote:

>

>In responding to a request for comments about a "high-end" club station:

>

>

>: Sounds like a bunch of elitists to me. This is not within the spirit of
>: amateur radio at all.

>: I'd say that such a club should be frowned upon by the amateur community
>: and not given any undeserved respect. 73

>

>Huh? Why?

>

>A group of folks without the resources to build a high-end station
>individually, getting together and pooling their resources to do so
>sounds very much in "the spirit of amateur radio" to me. While I

In many corners of the globe, the 'club station' is the norm, as are
shared facilities in many hobbies.

The US is the exception, the home of affluent rugged individualist
conspicuous consumers, where individual ownership of something that's
used a couple of hours a week seems to be the way of doing things.

Perhaps we'll wise up when we move to condos...

...I always thought that ideal places for ham club stations would be the
many abandoned duck clubs that seem to have fallen from favor, but still
have facilities out in the marsh-lands.

Greg

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #516
